

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
09/577,313	05/24/00	BARANDA		Р	OT-4190A
Г	PM82/1011		, 7	EXAMINER	
RANDY G HENL	-EY	111027101	1	TRAN,T	
OTIS ELEVATO				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
INTELLECTUAL 10 FARM SPE FARMINGTON (RINGS	DEPARTMENT		3652	2 10/11/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks



Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/577,313

Applicant(s)

Baranda et al.

Examiner

Thuy V. Tran

Group Art Unit 3652



X Responsive to communication(s) filed on May 24, 2000	·		
☐ This action is FINAL .			
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for forms in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.			
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expir is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respapplication to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of 37 CFR 1.136(a).	oond within the period for response will cause the		
Disposition of Claims			
X Claim(s) 23-43 and 66-86	is/are pending in the application.		
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
☐ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.		
☐ Claim(s)			
☐ Claim(s)	is/are objected to.		
X Claims 23-43 and 66-86	are subject to restriction or election requirement.		
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Revie The drawing(s) filed on	by the Examiner. is _approved _disapproved. 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). priority documents have been ational Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s) Interview Summary, PTO-413 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152			
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FO	LLOWING PAGES		

Page 2

Application Number: 09/577,313

Art Unit: 3652

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Group A:

Species I, Figure 3;

Species-II, Figure 4; and

Species III, Figure 5.

Group B:

Species IV, claim 18;

Species V, claim 19; and

Species VI, claim 20.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species (one species for each of the above group) for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, at least claim 23 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Application Number: 09/577,313 Page 3

Art Unit: 3652

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

2. A telephone call was made to Mr. Randy Henley on October 6, 2000 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

3. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).

Art Unit: 3652

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thuy v. Tran whose telephone number is (703) 308-2558.

ROBERT P. OLSZEWSKI

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

TVT (TVT)

October 9, 2000